

5. Transmittal

Program Contact Name/Title _____ Karen Ricketts/Executive Director

Phone _____ 707-524-2814 _____ Email krocketts@scoe.org _____

Unit Lead (*Dean, Superintendent*) _____ Steve D. Herrington, Ph.D., Superintendent of Schools

Phone 707 524-2600 _____ Email sherrington@scoe.org _____

I hereby signify my approval to transmit this transition plan to the California Commission on Teacher Credentialing.

Dean/Superintendent Signature Date

Signed, Complete Transition Plans must be submitted to Accrediation@ctc.ca.gov by March 31, 2017

APPENDIX
Performance Assessment Implementation Standards

Standard 5: Implementation of a Teaching Performance Assessment

The TPA is implemented according to the requirements of the Commission-approved model selected by the program. One or more individuals responsible for implementing the TPA document the administration processes for all tasks/activities of the applicable TPA model in accordance with the requirements of the selected model. The program consults as needed with the model sponsor where issues of consistency in implementing the model as designed arise. The program requires program faculty (including full time, adjunct, and other individuals providing instructional and/or supervisory services to candidates within the program) to become knowledgeable about the TPA tasks, rubrics, and scoring, as well as how the TPA is implemented within the program so that they can appropriately prepare candidates for the assessment and also use TPA data for program improvement purposes.

5A: Administration of the Teaching Performance Assessment (TPA)

- (1) The program identifies one or more individuals responsible for implementing the chosen TPA model and documents the administration processes for all tasks/activities of the applicable TPA model in accordance with the model's implementation requirements.
- (2) For purposes of implementing the video requirement, the program places candidates only in student teaching or intern placements where the candidate is able to record his/her teaching with K-12 students. The program assures that each school or district where the candidate is placed has a recording policy in place. The program requires candidates to affirm that the candidate has followed all applicable video policies for the TPA task requiring a video, and maintains records of this affirmation for a full accreditation cycle.
- (3) If the program participates in the local scoring option provided by the model sponsor, the program coordinates with the model sponsor to identify the local assessors who would be used to score TPA responses from the program's candidates.
- (4) The program maintains program level and candidate level TPA data, including but not limited to individual and aggregated results of candidate performance over time. The program documents the use of these data for Commission reporting, accreditation and program improvement purposes.
- (5) The program assures that candidates understand the appropriate use of materials submitted as part of their TPA responses, the appropriate use of their individual performance data, and privacy considerations relating to the use of candidate data.
- (6) A program using a local scoring process establishes and consistently uses appropriate measures to ensure the security of all TPA training materials, including all print, online, video, and assessor materials which may be in the program's possession.
- (7) All programs have a clearly defined written appeal policy for candidates and inform candidates about the policy prior to the assessment.

- (8) The program using a local scoring process provides and implements an appeal policy, with the model sponsor, for candidates who do not pass the TPA.

5B: Candidate Preparation and Support

The teacher preparation program assures that each candidate receives clear and accurate information about the nature of the pedagogical tasks within the Commission-approved teaching performance assessment model selected by the program and the passing score standard for the assessment. The program provides multiple formative opportunities for candidates to prepare for the TPA tasks/activities. The program assures that candidates understand that all responses to the TPA submitted for scoring represent the candidate's own work. For candidates who are not successful on the assessment, the program provides appropriate remediation support and guidance on resubmitting task components consistent with model sponsor guidelines.

- (1) The program implements as indicated below the following support activities for candidates:

These activities constitute **required** forms of support for candidates within the TPA process:

- Providing candidates with access to handbooks and other explanatory materials about the TPA and expectations for candidate performance on the assessment.
- Explaining TPA tasks and scoring rubrics.
- Engaging candidates in formative experiences aligned with a TPA (e.g., assignments analyzing their instruction, developing curriculum units, or assessing student work).
- Providing candidates who are not successful on the assessment with additional support focusing on understanding the task(s) and rubric(s) on which the candidate was not successful as well as on understanding what needs to be resubmitted for scoring and the process for resubmitting responses for scoring.

These activities constitute **acceptable, but not required** forms of support for candidates within the TPA process:

- Guiding discussions about the TPA tasks and scoring rubrics.
- Providing support documents such as advice on making good choices about what to use within the assessment responses.
- Using TPA scoring rubrics on assignments other than the candidate responses submitted for scoring.
- Asking probing questions about candidate draft TPA responses, without providing direct edits or specific suggestions about the candidate's work.
- Assisting candidates in understanding how to use the electronic platforms for models/programs using electronic uploading of candidate responses.
- Arranging technical assistance for the video portion of the assessment.

These activities constitute **unacceptable** forms of support for candidates within the TPA process:

- Editing a candidate's official materials prior to submission and/ or prior to resubmission (for candidates who are unsuccessful on the assessment).
- Providing specific critique of candidate responses that indicates alternative responses, prior to submission for official scoring and/or prior to resubmission (for candidates who

- are unsuccessful on the assessment).
- Telling candidates which video clips to select for submission.
 - Uploading candidate TPA responses (written responses or video entries) on public access websites, including social media.
- (2) The program provides candidates with timely feedback on formative assessments and experiences preparatory to the TPA. The feedback includes information relative to candidate demonstration of competency on the domains of the Teaching Performance Expectations (TPEs).
- (3) The program provides opportunities for candidates who are not successful on the assessment to receive remedial assistance, and to retake the assessment. The program only recommends candidates who have met the passing score on the TPA for a preliminary teaching credential and have met all credential requirements.

5C: Assessor Qualifications, Training, and Scoring Reliability

The model sponsor selects potential assessors for the centralized scoring option. The program selects potential assessors for the local scoring option, and must follow selection criteria established by the model sponsor. The selection criteria for all assessors include but are not limited to pedagogical expertise in the content areas assessed within the TPA. The model sponsor is responsible for training, calibration and scoring reliability for all assessors in both local and centralized scoring options. All potential assessors must pass initial training and calibration prior to scoring and must remain calibrated throughout the scoring process.